

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 BONN 08926 051751Z

43

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 IO-14 EURE-00 ISO-00 SWF-02 AID-20 CEA-02

CIAE-00 COME-00 EB-11 FRB-02 INR-10 NSAE-00 RSC-01

CIEP-02 SP-03 STR-08 TRSE-00 LAB-06 SIL-01 SAM-01

OMB-01 SS-20 NSC-07 L-03 H-03 PA-04 PRS-01 USIA-15

DRC-01 ACDA-19 /183 W

----- 031949

P R 051733Z JUN 74

FM AMEMBASSY BONN

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 2992

INFO AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

AMEMBASSY DUBLIN

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG

AMEMBASSY ROME

USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

USMISSION GENEVA

USMISSION NATO BRUSSELS

USMISSION OECD PARIS

USMISSION BERLIN

USDEL MBFR VIENNA

C O N F I D E N T I A L BONN 08926

BERLIN FOR AMBASSADOR

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, EEC, EGEN, UK

SUBJECT: EC RENEGOTIATION

REF: LONDON 6973

1. RELIABLE FONOFF SOURCES INFORM US THAT JUNE 4 EC

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 BONN 08926 051751Z

COUNCIL SESSION ON EC RENEGOTIATION WAS "PREPARED IN

ADVANCE" BY WEEKEND SCHMIDT-GISCARD MEETING IN PARIS AND BILATERAL LUXEMBOURG EXCHANGES OF GENSCHER, SAUVAGNARGUES AND CALLAGHAN. PRINCIPAL GOAL OF GERMANS, AS EC PRESIDENT, WAS TO ASSURE THAT FRENCH FOREIGN MINISTER "WOULD NOT ASCEND FROM HIS COUNCIL CHAIR IN RIGHTEOUS WRATH UPON HEARING THE WORD RENEGOTIATION PASS FROM CALLAGHAN'S LIPS". IN SHORT, GENSCHER'S PURPOSE WAS PREVENTIVE DIPLOMACY.

2. ACCORDING TO OUR SOURCE, IT BECAME CLEAR TO GENSCHER FROM HIS BILATERAL WITH CALLAGHAN THAT THE EIGHT WOULD NOT AGREE TO CONSIDER BRITISH DEMANDS UNDER THE RUBRIC OF "RENEGOTIATION". ON THE OTHER HAND, OUTRIGHT REJECTION WOULD TREMENDOUSLY COMPLICATE THE HMG INTERNAL POLITICAL POSITION. A WAY WAS NEEDED TO AVOID ACCORDING ANY EC BLESSING TO THE "RENEGOTIATION" TERMINOLOG WHILE PERMITTING THE BRITISH TO ACT OUT THE ROLE OF RENEGOTIATOR FOR INTERNAL POLITICAL AUDIENCES. SCHMIDT AND GISCARD DISCUSSED THE TOPIC, LATER EMPHASIZING THE NEED FOR ADHESION TO "THE LETTER AND SPIRIT OF THE EC TREATIES" (SEE SEPTTEL). GENSCHER FURTHER PREPARED THE GROUND WITH SAUVAGNARGUES AND COAXED CALLAGHAN TO AVOID AFFRONTS TO ESTABLISHED EC GODS.

3. IN THE EVENT, THE GERMANS AND OTHERS WERE GRATIFIED BY THE MORE CONCILIATORY TONE OF CALLAGHAN'S REMARKS, ALBEIT AWARE THAT TOUGH TALKS LOOM AHEAD ON THE SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES. IN FACE OF THE UK BUDGET DEMANDS, THE COUNCIL APPROVED GENSCHER'S RECOMMENDATION THAT THE EC COMMISSION UNDERTAKE A BROAD STUDY OF THE EC ECONOMIC/FINANCIAL SITUATION AND REPORT BACK TO THE COUNCIL. THE RUBRIC OF THE STUDY IS NOT LIMITED TO THE UK DEMANDS AND AVOIDS THE WORD "RENEGOTIATION". BONN HOPES OTHER BRITISH WISHES CAN BE ADDRESSED AS POSSIBLE ADJUSTMENTS DURING NORMAL EC BUSINESS.

4. COMMENT: THIS PAPERED OVER COMPROMISE DOES NOT ALTER THE STRONG SENTIMENT IN BONN, PARIS AND OTHER EC CAPITALS AGAINST THE CURRENT BRITISH RENEGOTIATION  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 BONN 08926 051751Z

GAME. GERMAN OFFICIALS CONTINUE TO EXPRESS READINESS TO MEET REASONABLE BRITISH DEMANDS AS LONG AS THE "LETTER AND SPIRIT OF EC TREATIES REMAIN UNAFFECTED". HOWEVER, AS FAR AS WE CAN GUAGE, FEELING HERE SEEMS TO BE GROWING THAT THE LABOR PARTY LEADERS SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED TO HAND FURTHER BLOW TO EC UNIFICATION PROCESS "FOR STRICTLY INTERNAL POLITICAL REASONS". FRG BITTERNESS IS DOUBTLESS DUE IN LARGE PART TO FACT THAT PRIOR

STRONG FRG EFFORTS TO GAIN BRITISH ENTRY COMMENCED DURING  
LAST WILSON GOVERNMENT. IN ANY CASE, VAN WELL AND OTHER  
KEY GERMAN OFFICIALS ARE MAKING IT KNOWN THAT GERMAN  
DESIRE TO KEEP BRITISH IN WOULD NOT WARRANT MODIFICA-  
TIONS TO EC TREATIES, AND THAT, IN THE END, THE CHOICE  
IS UP TO LONDON.  
HILLENBRAND

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** MEMBERSHIP, WITHDRAWAL OF MEMBERSHIP, BUDGETS, NEGOTIATIONS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 05 JUN 1974  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** golinofr  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1974BONN08926  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D740142-1226  
**From:** BONN  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1974/newtext/t19740674/aaaaclyx.tel  
**Line Count:** 122  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION EUR  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** LONDON 6973  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** golinofr  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 21 MAR 2002  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <21 MAR 2002 by collinp0>; APPROVED <08 MAY 2002 by golinofr>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** EC RENEGOTIATION  
**TAGS:** PFOR, EGEN, UK, EEC, (CALLAGHAN, JAMES)  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005